

Lecture 1:

Scalar first order differential equations:

Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $D \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ then SCALAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with t independent and x dependent variable.

$$\text{meaning of the dot: } \dot{x}(t) = \frac{dx}{dt}(t) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\text{Notation: } \dot{x}(t) = f(t, x) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Definition A.1:

A SOLUTION TO A DIFFERENTIAL FUNCTION $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ if:

- 1: x is differentiable.
- 2: $(t, x(t)) \in D$ for all $t \in I$
- 3: $\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t))$ for all $t \in I$

Problem A.1:

INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM:

Given $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for some $D \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and point (t_0, x_0) find such a solution $x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x) \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

New form (part 1):

1:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Then the UNIQUE SOLUTION: for a initial condition $x(t_0) = x_0$ given by:

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) d\tau \quad (\text{A.10})$$

2: AUTONOMOUS EQUATIONS:

$$\dot{x}(t) = g(x(t)) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where g continuous on interval X , so $D = \mathbb{R} \times X$.

We can show that these equations are TIME-INVARIANT by using that

$$H(x) = t + \tau \Leftrightarrow \frac{dH}{dx}(x) = \frac{1}{g(x)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{d}{dt}(h(x)) = \frac{dH}{dx}(x)\dot{x} = \frac{1}{g(x)}\dot{x} = 1 \quad (\text{A.12,A.13,A.14})$$

Lecture 2:

New form (part 2):

1: SEPARABLE EQUATIONS:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t)g(x(t)) \quad (\text{A.19})$$

CLASS OF SOLUTIONS given by:

$$H(x) = F(t) + c \quad (\text{A.21})$$

with $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{dF}{dt}(t) = f(t)$

REASONING:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(H(x)) = \frac{1}{g(x)}\dot{x} = f(t) \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Therefore:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t)g(x) \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{g(x)}dx = f(t)dt \Leftrightarrow \int \frac{1}{g(x)}dx = \int f(t)dt \Leftrightarrow H(x) = F(t) + c \quad (\text{A.23,A.24,A.25})$$

Linear scalar differential equations, linearity:

$a, b : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) + b(t) \quad (\text{A.37})$$

HOMOGENEOUS: $b(t) = 0$ for all t v.s. NONHOMOGENEOUS: $b(t) \neq 0$

DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR:

$$L(x) = \dot{x} - a(t)x \quad (1)$$

This operator is indeed linear: x', x two functions and $\alpha', \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ then we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha x + \alpha' x') &= \frac{d}{dt}\{\alpha x + \alpha' x'\} - a(t)(\alpha x + \alpha' x') \\ &= \alpha \dot{x} + \alpha' \dot{x}' - a(t)\alpha x - a(t)\alpha' x' = \alpha L(x) + \alpha' L(x') \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.39})$$

When we rewrite this we obtain that:

$$L(x) = b(t) \quad (\text{A.40})$$

Homogeneous equation:

$$\dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) \quad (\text{A.41})$$

which we can rewrite to:

$$H(x) = \int \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln|x|, \quad F(t) = \int a(t)dt \quad (\text{A.42})$$

So any solution where $C = \pm e^c$ or $C = 0$ given by:

$$x(t) = Ce^{F(t)} \quad (\text{A.44})$$

Lemma A.1.

Consider initial value problem:

$$\dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (\text{A.48})$$

Where $a : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t_0 \in I$ then the unique solution:

$$x(t; t_0, x_0) = x_0 e^{F(t)} \quad F(t) = \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau)d\tau \quad (\text{A.49})$$

PROOF:

Introduce $z(t) = x(t) - x'(t)$ where $x'(t)$ second solution. So then

$$\int_{t_0}^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \{e^{-F(\tau)} z(\tau)\} d\tau = e^{-F(t)} z(t) - e^{-F(t_0)} z(t_0) = 0 \quad (\text{A.51})$$

So indeed solution and indeed unique. $a : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t_0 \in I$ then UNIQUE SOLUTION: for $t \in I$

$$x(t; t_0, x_0) = x_0 e^{F(t)}, \quad F(t) = \int_{t_0}^t a(\tau)d\tau \quad (\text{A.49})$$

Non-homogeneous equations:

$$\dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) + b(t) \quad (\text{A.59})$$

We find the class of solution by VARIATION OF CONSTANTS:

$$\begin{aligned} z(t) &= e^{-F(t)}x(t) \Rightarrow \dot{z}(t) = e^{-F(t)}b(t) \\ z(t) &= \int e^{-F(t)}b(t)dt + C \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.60,A.61,A.62})$$

So then we get that:

$$x(t) = Ce^{F(t)} + e^{F(t)} \int e^{-F(t)}b(t)dt \quad (\text{A.63})$$

Lemma A.2.

Consider initial value problem where $a, b : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t_0 \in I$ s.t.

$$\dot{x}(t) = a(t)x(t) + b(t) \quad x(t_0) = x_0 \quad (\text{A.64})$$

Then UNIQUE SOLUTION for $t \in I$ and F as in (A.49)

$$x(t; t_0, x_0) = x_0e^{F(t)} + e^{F(t)} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-F(\tau)}b(\tau)d\tau \quad (\text{A.65})$$

Homogeneous and particular solutions.

We can write (A.59) as

$$x(t) = x_h(t) + x_p(t) \quad (\text{A.67})$$

where HOMOGENEOUS SOLUTION:

$$\dot{x}_h(t) = a(t)x_h(t) \quad (\text{A.68})$$

and PARTICULAR SOLUTION

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_p(t) &= a(t)x_p(t) + b(t) \\ x_p(t) &= C_p e^{F(t)} + e^{F(t)} \int e^{-F(t)}b(t)dt \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.69,A.70})$$

Let $x_h(t) = C_h e^{F(t)}$ then we can write (A.67) as

$$x(t) = (C_h + C_p)e^{F(t)} + e^{F(t)} \int e^{-F(t)}b(t)dt \quad (\text{A.71})$$

Example:

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\frac{4}{t}x(t) + \frac{\sin(t)}{t^4} \text{ where } t > 0$$

$$1) \text{ HOMOGENEOUS: } \frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{4}{t}x \Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{x}dx = -4 \int \frac{1}{t}dt \Rightarrow \ln|x| = -4 \ln|t| + C \Rightarrow x(t) = \frac{c}{t^4}$$

$$2) \text{ PARTICULAR: } z(t)t^4x(t) \Rightarrow \dot{z}(t) = \sin(t) \Rightarrow z(t) = -\cos(t)$$

$$3) \text{ FINAL SOLUTION: } x(t) = \frac{C - \cos(t)}{t^4}$$

(A.71 till A.76)

Lecture 3:

SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1(t) &= f_1(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)) \\ &\vdots \\ \dot{x}_n(t) &= f_n(t, x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))\end{aligned}\tag{A.79}$$

When we define

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} & f(t, x) &= \begin{bmatrix} f_1(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{bmatrix} \\ \dot{x}(t) &= f(t, x(t))\end{aligned}\tag{A.80,A.81}$$

Definition A.2.

$I \subset \mathbb{R}$. $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ SOLUTION to (A.80,A.81) if x differentiable, $(t, x(t)) \in D$ for all $t \in I$ holds for all $t \in I$

Problem A.2:

$f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ for $D \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and a point $(t_0, x_0) \in D$ find solution $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0\tag{A.82}$$

SOLUTION INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM:

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\tag{A.83}$$

Recall: $|x| = \sqrt{x^T x} = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$

Definition A.3.

$f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $D \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is LIPSCHITZ in x at a point $(t', x') \in D$ if $\exists L > 0 \& r > 0$ s.t.

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, x')| \leq L|x - x'|\tag{A.85}$$

$\forall (t, x) : |x - x'| < r, |t - t'| < r, (t, x) \in D$. f Lipschitz for all $(t', x') \in D$, it is said to be locally Lipschitz on D .

Theorem A.3.

$f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $D \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ continuous, locally Lipschitz on D . If $(t_0, x_0) \in D$ then exists unique solution (A.82), can be extended left and right up to boundaries of D

PROOF:, Remark A.4:

By METHOD OF SUCCESSIVE APPROXIMATIONS:

$$x^{(k+1)}(t) = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, x^k(\tau)) d\tau\tag{A.86}$$

Remark A.5:

For $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (A.85) will be:

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(x')|}{|x - x'|} = \left| \frac{f(x) - f(x')}{x - x'} \right| \leq L \quad (\text{A.87})$$

Remark A.6:

$$y^{(k)}(t) = \frac{d^k y}{dt^k}(t) \quad (\text{A.88})$$

So then n th order differential equation:

$$y^{(n)}(t) = f(t, y(t), \dot{y}(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t)) \quad (\text{A.89})$$

When we say that $x = [x_1 \ \dots \ x_n]^T = [y \ \dots \ y^{(n-1)}]^T$ we see that we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_{n-1} \\ \dot{x}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \\ f(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.91})$$

Systems:

LINEAR SYSTEMS WITH INPUTS AND OUTPUTS:

$$\Sigma = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) & \text{STATE EQUATION} \\ y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t) & \text{OUTPUT EQUATION} \end{cases} \quad (\text{1.1})$$

t indep. var. time. STATE: $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. INPUT: $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. OUTPUT: $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

SYSTEM MATRIX: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and INPUT MATRIX: $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.

OUTPUT MATRIX: $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ and FEEDTHROUGH MATRIX: $D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$

NONLINEAR SYSTEM:

$$\Sigma_{\text{nl}} = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)) \\ y(t) = h(x(t), u(t)) \end{cases} \quad (\text{1.14})$$

Definition 1.1:

Consider Σ_{nl} for constant $u(t) = \bar{u}$, Then $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ EQUILIBRIUM for \bar{u} if

$$f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Means that $x(t) = \bar{x}$ constant solution. Corresponding output $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ as $\bar{y} = h(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$

DEVIATION FROM THE EQUILIBRIUM POINT:

$$\tilde{x}(t) = x(t) - \bar{x} \quad \tilde{u}(t) = u(t) - \bar{u} \quad \tilde{y}(t) = y(t) - \bar{y} \quad (\text{1.22,1.23})$$

DYNAMICS:

$$\dot{\tilde{x}} = \dot{x}(t) - 0 = f(x(t), u(t)) = f(\bar{x} + \tilde{x}(t), \bar{u} + \tilde{u}(t)) \quad (1.24)$$

For \tilde{x}, \tilde{u} small:

$$f(\bar{x} + \tilde{x}, \bar{u} + \tilde{u}) \approx f(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})\tilde{x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})\tilde{u} \quad (1.25)$$

When we use that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.26)$$

Similair for $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$, $\frac{\partial h}{\partial u}$, $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$ so then we can obtain:

Definition 1.2:

Let (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) equilibrium of (1.14) then:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{x}}(t) &= A\tilde{x}(t) + B\tilde{u}(t) \\ \tilde{y}(t) &= C\tilde{x}(t) + D\tilde{u}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1.30)$$

with $\tilde{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tilde{u}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\tilde{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and

$$A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \quad B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \quad C = \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}), \quad D = \frac{\partial h}{\partial u}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \quad (1.31)$$

is called LINEARIZATION of (1.14) around equilibrium (\bar{x}, \bar{u})

Lecture 4:

Homogeneous linear systems:

Consider

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \quad (2.1)$$

with the initial value problem stated in problem A.2, so (A.82).

We see that the unique solution is:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t; t_0, x_0) &= x_0 e^{a(t-t_0)} = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t Ax(\tau) d\tau \\ x^{(k+1)}(t) &= x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t Ax^{(k)}(\tau) d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (2.3, 2.4, 2.5)$$

Idea: approximate $x^{(k)}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)}(t) &= x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t Ax_0 d\tau = (I + A(t - t_0))x_0 \\ x^{(2)}(t) &= x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t (I + A(t - \tau_0))x_0 d\tau = (I + A(t - t_0) + \frac{1}{2}A^2(t - t_0)^2)x_0 \\ &\vdots \\ x^{(k)}(t) &= \left(\sum_{l=0}^k \frac{A^l(t - t_0)^l}{l!} \right) x_0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6, 2.7)$$

Now we first define $\|\circ\|_2$ we will do this by:

$$\|A\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{|A|}{|x|} \mid x \neq 0 \right\} = \sup \{ |Ax| \mid |x| = 1 \} \quad (2.9)$$

Where $|x| = \sqrt{x^* x}$ where x^* the Hermitian transpose of x

Lemma 2.1:

$A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $(A)_{ij}$ be any element of A . Then

$$|(A)_{ij}| \leq \|A\| \quad (2.10)$$

PROOF:

e_i is i th column if I_n so then:

$$Ae_j = \begin{bmatrix} (A)_{1j} \\ (A)_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ (A)_{nj} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow |(A)_{ij}| \leq |Ae_j| \leq \|A\| \quad (2.11, 2.12)$$

Lemma 2.2:
 $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ then

$$\|AB\| \leq \|A\|\|B\| \quad (2.13)$$

PROOF:

Definition 2.9: $|Ax| \leq \|A\||x|$ for any $x \in \mathbb{C}$ so therefore

$$|ABx| \leq \|A\||Bx| \leq \|A\|\|B\||x| \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad (2.14)$$

Lemma 2.3:

The matrix series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k A^k}{k!}$ converges for every $At \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{t^k}{k!} (A^k)_{ij} \right| &\leq \left\| \frac{t^k}{k!} A^k \right\| = \frac{\|(At)^k\|}{k!} \leq \frac{\|At\|^k}{k!} \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{t^k}{k!} (A^k)_{ij} \right| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{t^k}{k!} A^k \right\| = e^{\|At\|} < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (2.15, 2.16, 2.17)$$

Definition 2.1: MATRIX EXPONENTIAL of At with $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$:

$$e^{At} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^k}{k!} \quad (2.18)$$

Lemma 2.4: $t \mapsto e^{At}$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ then $\frac{d}{dt}\{e^{At}\} = Ae^{At}$

PROOF:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\{e^{At}\} = \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^k}{k!} \right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{A^k t^k}{k!} \right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^{k-1} t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} = Ae^{At} = e^{At} A$$

Theorem 2.5:

Consider:

$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$ and $x(t_0) = x_0$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ then the unique solution for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by:

$$x(t; t_0, x_0) = e^{A(t-t_0)} x_0 \quad (2.21)$$

Nonhomogeneous linear systems:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

Remark 2.2: continuous input function.

INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM: for given input function and initial condition

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \quad x(t_0) = x_0, u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \quad (2.66)$$

Theorem 2.11:

for $t \in I$ the unique solution to (2.66) is given by:

$$x(t; t_0, x_0, u) = e^{A(t-t_0)} x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)} Bu(\tau) d\tau \quad (2.67)$$

PROOF:

Idea derive solution using variation of constants:

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(t) &= e^{-At}x(t) \Leftrightarrow e^{At}z(t) = x(t) \\
 \dot{z}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}\{e^{-At}x(t)\} = -Ae^{-At}x(t) + e^{-At}\dot{x}(t) = -Ae^{-At}x(t) + e^{-At}(Ax(t) + Bu(t)) \\
 &= -Ae^{-At}x(t) + Ae^{-A(t)}x(t) + e^{-At}Bu(t) = e^{-At}Bu(t) \\
 z(t) &= z(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-A\tau}Bu(\tau)d\tau = e^{-At_0}x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-A\tau}Bu(\tau)d\tau \\
 x(t) &= e^{At}e^{-At_0}x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t e^{At}e^{-A\tau}Bu(\tau)d\tau \\
 &= e^{A(t-t_0)}x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau \text{ which is also unique.}
 \end{aligned}$$

And because this one is unique, the solution of the homogeneous system is unique.

Remark 2.3:

Consider output equation

$$y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t) \quad (2.73)$$

then for all $t \in I$ and for initial condition (t_0, x_0) we have

$$y(t; t_0, x_0, u) = Ce^{A(t-t_0)}x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t Ce^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau + Du(t) \quad (3)$$

Theorem 2.12:

Consider $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$. Then for (t_0, x_0) and (t_0, x'_0) with $x_0, x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ two initial conditions and $u, u' : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ two input function with $t_0 \in I$ then

$$x(t; t_0, \alpha x_0, \alpha' x'_0, \alpha u + \alpha' u') = \alpha x(t; t_0, x_0, u) + \alpha' x(t; t_0, x'_0, u') \text{ for all } \alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem 2.13: TIME-INVARIANCE:

for any (t_0, x_0) and $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ then:

$$x(t; t_0, x_0, u) = x(t - t_0; 0, x_0, u_{t_0}) \text{ with } u_{t_0}(t) = u(t + t_0) \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Lecture 5:

Computation of the matrix exponential:

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ($A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$)

$$e^{At} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^k}{k!} = I + At + \frac{1}{2}A^2t^2 + \dots$$

Lemma 2.6.

Let $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ then:

$$e^{TAT^{-1}t} = Te^{At}T^{-1} \quad \forall t \quad (2.37)$$

Remark 2.1:

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ diagonalizable \Rightarrow exists n lin. independent eigenvectors $v_i \in \mathbb{C}^m$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} AT &= T\Lambda \\ T &= [v_1 \ \dots \ v_n] \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (2.42, 2.43)$$

So then

$$e^{A(t-t_0)} = e^{T\Lambda T^{-1}(t-t_0)} = Te^{\Lambda(t-t_0)}T^{-1} \Rightarrow x(t; t_0, x_0) = e^{A(t-t_0)}x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i e^{\lambda_i(t-t_0)} \quad (2.44, 2.45)$$

Lemma 2.7.

$a, b \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $AB = BA$ then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$e^{At}B = Be^{At} \quad (2.46)$$

Lemma 2.8.

For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{At})^{-1} &= e^{-At} \\ \text{if } AB &= BA \Rightarrow e^{At}e^{Bt} = e^{(A+B)t} \quad (2.47, 2.48, 2.49) \\ \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R} \quad &e^{At}e^{As} = e^{A(t+s)} \end{aligned}$$

The Jordan canonical form:

Definition 2.2.

JORDAN BLOCK MATRIX $J_k(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ matrix given by:

$$J_k(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \lambda & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.62)$$

Note: $J_k(\lambda) = \lambda I + N$ with $N^k = 0$

Lemma 2.9.

Consider $J_k(\lambda)$ for positive integer k and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ then:

$$e^{J_k(\lambda)t} = e^{\lambda t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & \frac{t^2}{2!} & \dots & \frac{t^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} & \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ 0 & 1 & t & & & \\ \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & & t & & \frac{t^2}{2!} & \\ & & & 1 & t & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.62)$$

Theorem 2.10:

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ there exists a nonsingular matrix, $T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $A = T J T^{-1}$ with

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_{k_1}(\lambda_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_{k_r}(\lambda_r) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.63)$$

Where $\lambda_i \in \sigma(A)$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $n = k_1 = \dots + k_r$. Conversely, let $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$. Then $\lambda = \lambda_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$

Computation of the matrix exponential:

- 1: Compute Jordan canonical form: $A = T J T^{-1}$
- 2: for each Jordan block ($J_{k_i}(\lambda(i))$) compute $e^{J_{k_i}(\lambda_i)t}$
- 3: Compute e^{At} using:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} &= e^{T J T^{-1} t} \\ &= T e^{J t} T^{-1} = T \begin{bmatrix} e^{J_{k_1}(\lambda_1)t} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{J_{k_r}(\lambda_r)t} \end{bmatrix} T^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.64)$$

Lecture 6:

Consider

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \quad (3.1)$$

Definition 3.1.

The system (3.1) is called

1. STABLE if every solution bounded for $t \geq 0$. So for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ exists $M > 0$ s.t.

$$|x(t; x_0)| = |e^{At}x_0| \leq M \quad t \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

2. ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE: every solution tends to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$ so for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t; x_0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{At}x_0 = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

Remark 3.1: holds also for (1.1)

Consider $t \mapsto t^k e^{\lambda t}$ for nonnegative integer k and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

Lemma 3.1:

If $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^k e^{\lambda t} = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

And $|t^k e^{\lambda t}|$ bounded $\forall t \geq 0$

Lemma 3.2:

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < \alpha$,

$$|t^k e^{\lambda t}| \leq M e^{\alpha t} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

PROOF:

Define $p(t) = e^{-\alpha t} t^k e^{\lambda t} = t^l e^{(\lambda - \alpha)t}$

Then $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda - \alpha) < 0$ and by this: $|p(t)| \leq M \Rightarrow e^{\alpha t} |p(t)| = |t^k e^{\lambda t}| \leq M e^{\alpha t}$

Denote:

$$\mathbb{C} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) < 0\} \text{ and } \overline{\mathbb{C}} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0\}$$

Theorem 3.3:

Consider (3.1) then:

1) (3.1) is stable iff

$$\sigma(A) \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}_- \quad (3.7)$$

and every λ with $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = 0$ is semisimple: equal algebraic and geometric multiplicity

2: (3.1) asymptotically stable iff

$$\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{C}_- \quad (3.8)$$

Exists $M, \gamma > 0$ s.t.

$$\|e^{At}\| \leq M e^{-\gamma t} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (3.9)$$

PROOF:

By contraposition: let $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$.

Denote $Av = \lambda v$ then $x(t) = e^{\lambda t}v$ solution of $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$ Namely:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \lambda e^{\lambda t}v = \lambda v e^{\lambda t} = A v e^{\lambda t} = A x(t)$$

In fact, $x(t; v) = e^{\lambda t}v$ however $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t; v) \neq 0$ so $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$ is not asymptotically stable.

Routh-Hurwitz criterion:**Definition 3.2:**

A polynomial

$$p(s) = a_n s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0 \quad (3.17)$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_n \neq 0$ called stable if all its roots ($p(\lambda) = 0$) have negative real parts: $\sigma(p) \subset \mathbb{C}$

Theorem 3.4:

ROUTH-HURWITZ THEOREM:

p as (3.17) with $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_n \neq 0$. Then p stable iff:

- 1) $a_{n-1} \neq 0$
 - 2) $a_n a_{n-1} > 0$
 - 3) $q(s) = a_{n-1} p(s) - a_n (a_{n-1} s^n + a_{n-3} s^{n-2} + \dots)$ is stable
- (3.19)

Lemma 3.5

Let p be

$$p(s) = a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0 \quad (3.29)$$

with $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_n \neq 0$. Then p stable iff all coefficients nonzero and same sign.

Lemma 3.6:

let p be as (3.17) with $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, p stable, then all coefficients nonzero and same sign.

Interval polynomials:

Define

$$\mathcal{P}(s) = \{a_n s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0 \mid a_i^- \leq a_i \leq a_i^+ \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}\} \quad (3.33)$$

Definition 3.3:

the set \mathcal{P} stable if p stable for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 3.7:

Set \mathcal{P} stable iff the following four polynomials stable:

$$\begin{aligned} p^{++}(s) &= a_0^+ + a_1^+ s + a_2^+ s^2 + a_3^+ s^3 + \dots \\ p^{+-} &= a_0^+ + a_1^- s + a_2^- s^2 + a_3^+ s^3 + \dots \\ p^{-+} &= a_0^- + a_1^+ s + a_2^+ s^2 + a_3^- s^3 + \dots \\ p^{--} &= a_0^- + a_1^- s + a_2^- s^2 + a_3^- s^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.34, 3.35, 3.36, 3.37)$$

Lecture 7:

$$\Sigma(A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \quad (4.1)$$

Recall:

Unique solution given by:

$$x(t; x_0, u) = e^{At}x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau \quad (4.2)$$

Definition 4.1.

(4.1) is CONTROLLABLE if for any $x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any final state $x_f \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there $\exists u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ s.t. $x_f = x(T; x_0, u)$

Definition 4.2.

The state $x_f \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is REACHABLE at time $T > 0$ if there exists $u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ s.t. $x(T; 0, u) = x_f$
REACHABLE SUBSPACE \mathcal{W}_T at some time $T > 0$ s.t.:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_T &= \{x_f \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_f \text{ is reachable at } T\} \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^T e^{A(T-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau | u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Definition 4.3.

(4.1) REACHABLE if for any $x_f \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is reachable: $\mathcal{W}_T = \mathbb{R}^n$

Lemma 4.1.

(4.1) controllable iff (4.1) reachable.

Theorem 4.2:

$v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $T > 0$ then:

- 1) $v^T x = 0$ for all $x \in W_T$
 - 2) $v^T e^{At} B = 0$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$
 - 3) $v^T A^k B = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots$
 - 4) $v^T [B \ AB \ A^2 B \ \dots \ A^{n-1} B] = 0$
- Where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ where $m < n$

PROOF $1 \Rightarrow 2$

Let $v^T x = 0$ for all $x \in w_T$ then:

$$0 = \int_0^T v^T e^{A(t-\tau)} Bu(\tau)d\tau \text{ for every } u : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$$

Choose $u(t) = B^T e^{A^T(T-t)} v$

$$0 = \int_0^T v^T e^{A(T-\tau)} BB^T e^{A^T(T-\tau)} v d\tau = \int_0^T \|B^T e^{A^T(T-\tau)} v\|^2 d\tau \Leftrightarrow B^T e^{A^T t} v = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T$$

So $v^T e^{At} B = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$

Corollary 4.3:

\mathcal{W}_T independent of T for $T > 0$. It satisfies:

$$\mathcal{W}_T = \text{im} [B \ AB \ \dots \ A^{n-1}B] \quad (4.12)$$

Image:

$$\text{im } M = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^p | y = Mx \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{R}^q\} \quad (4.12)$$

Definition 4.4.

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then \mathcal{V} is called A -invariant if:

$$x \in \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow Ax \in \mathcal{V} \quad (4.14)$$

Notation: $A\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{V}$

Theorem 4.4.

reachable subspace \mathcal{W} smallest A -invariant subspace containing $\text{im } B$

Corollary 4.5.

- 1) $\exists T > 0$ s.t Σ controllable at T
- 2) Σ controllable at T for all $T > 0$
- 3) $\text{rank} [B \ AB \ \dots \ A^{n-1}B] = n$
- 4) $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}^n$

Observability:

Consider

$$\Sigma(A, C) = \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \end{cases} \quad (4.25)$$

Definition 4.5.

Consider (4.25). Two states x_0 & $x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. They are INDISTINGUISHABLE on $[0, T]$ with $T > 0$ if

$$y(t; x_0, 0) = y(t; x'_0, 0) \quad (4.30)$$

Definition 4.6.

(4.25) observable on $[0, T]$ if two states $x_0, x'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are indistinguishable on $[0, T]$ only if $x_0 = x'_0$

Definition 4.7.

UNOBSERVABLE SUBSPACE: at time T denoted by \mathcal{N}_T

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x \text{ is indistinguishable from 0 on } [0, T]\} \quad (4.31)$$

Theorem 4.6.

\mathcal{N}_T independent of T for $T > 0$ so

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \ker \begin{bmatrix} C \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Theorem 4.7.

unobservable subspace \mathcal{N} is largest A -invariant subspace contained in $\ker(C)$

Theorem 4.8.

Following equivalent:

1) $\exists T > 0$ s.t. $\Sigma(A, C)$ is observable at T

2) $\Sigma(A, C)$ observable for all $T > 0$

3) $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = n$

4 $\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$

Theorem 4.9.

System $\Sigma(A, B)$ in (4.1) controllable iff $\Sigma(A^T, B^T)$ in (4.25) is observable.

Lecture 8:

Canonical forms

Let $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be nonsingular s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(t) &= Tx(t) \\ (4.1) \Rightarrow \Sigma(TAT^{-1}, TB) : \dot{\bar{x}}(t) &= TAT^{-1}\bar{x}(t) + TBu(t) \\ (4.25) \Rightarrow \Sigma(TAT^{-1}, CT^{-1}) := \begin{cases} \dot{\bar{x}}(t) = TAT^{-1}\bar{x}(t) \\ y(t) = CT^{-1}\bar{x}(t) \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.41, 4.42, 4.43)$$

Definition 4.8.

$\Sigma(A, B)$ and $\Sigma(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ form: (4.1)SIMILAR if

$$\bar{A} = TAT^{-1}\bar{B} = TB \quad (4.44)$$

$\Sigma(A, C)$ and $\Sigma(\bar{A}, \bar{C})$ (4.25)SIMILAR if

$$\bar{A} = TAT^{-1}\bar{C} = CT^{-1} \quad (4.44)$$

Theorem 4.10:

$T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ nonsingular then:

- 1) $\Sigma(A, B)$ controllable $\Leftrightarrow \Sigma(TAT^{-1}, TB)$ controllable.
- 2) $\Sigma(A, C)$ observable $\Leftrightarrow \Sigma(TAT^{-1}, CT^{-1})$ observable.

Theorem 4.11: Let $\Sigma(A, B)$ be unocontrollable, define $k = \dim \mathcal{W} < n$ then:

$$TAT^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad TB = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.49)$$

Where $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ and $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ and (A_{11}, B_1) controllable.

Theorem 4.12. Let $\Sigma(A, C)$ be unocontrollable, define $k = n - \dim \mathcal{W} < n$ then:

$$TAT^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad CT^{-1} = [C - 1 \quad 0] \quad (4.49)$$

Where $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ and $C_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}$ and (A_{11}, C_1) observable.

Change of variables: $\bar{x}(t) = Tx(t)$ s.t.

$$\Sigma(TAT^{-1}, CT^{-1}) : \begin{cases} \dot{\bar{x}}_1(t) = A_{11}\bar{x}_1(t) \\ \dot{\bar{x}}_2(t) = A_{11}\bar{x}_1(t) + A_{22}\bar{x}_2(t) \\ y(t) = C_1\bar{x}_1(t) \end{cases} \quad (4.74)$$

Controllable and observable eigenvalues:**Definition 4.9.** $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ is called (A, B) CONTROLLABLE if

$$\text{rank} [A - \lambda I \quad B] = n \quad (4.98)$$

 (A, C) OBSERVABLE if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} = n \quad (4.99)$$

Theorem 4.15. $\Sigma(A, B)$ in (4.1) and $\Sigma(A, C)$ in (4.25)1) $\Sigma(A, B)$ controllable iff

$$\text{rank} [A - \lambda I \quad B] = n \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A) \quad (4.102)$$

2) $\Sigma(A, C)$ observable iff

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} = n \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A) \quad (4.103)$$

Theorem 4.16.1) λ is (A, B) -controllable iff λ is (TAT^{-1}, TB) -controllable.2) λ is (A, C) -observable iff λ is (TAT^{-1}, CT^{-1}) -observable.**Theorem 4.17.**Let $\Sigma(A, B)$ in (4.1) be:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.110)$$

where $A_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ and $B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ and (A_{11}, B_1) controllable. Then $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ is (A, B) -controllable iff $\lambda \notin \sigma(A_{22})$ **Theorem 4.18.**Let $\Sigma(A, C)$ in (4.25) be:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.115)$$

Lecture 9:

Controllability canonical form:

Theorem 4.13.

Let $\Sigma(A, B)$ with $m = 1$ controllable then there exists a nonsingular $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that:

$$TAT^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \dots & -a_{n-2} & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad TB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.67)$$

s.t.

$$\det(sI - A) = \Delta_A(s) = s^n + a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1s + a_0 = \det(sI - A) \quad (4.77)$$

Theorem 4.14.

$\Sigma(A, C)$ in (4.25) with $p = 1$ observable $\Rightarrow \exists$ nonsingular T s.t.

$$TAT^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -a_1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad CT^{-1} = [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 0 \ 1] \quad (4.96)$$

with $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and also like (4.77)

Stabilization by static state feedback:

$$\Sigma(A, B) : \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \quad (5.1)$$

In CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM we have a STATE FEEDBACK CONTROL:

$$u(t) = F(t)x(t) \quad (5.2, 5.3)$$

substitution into (5.1), gives $\dot{x}(t) = (A + BF)x(t)$

Problem 5.1

Given $\Sigma(A, B)$ find $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ s.t. $\sigma(A + BF) \subset \mathbb{C}_- = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Re } z < 0\}$

Theorem 5.1:

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ given. For each monic polynomial degree n there $\exists F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ s.t.

$$\Delta_{A+BF}(s) = p(s) \quad (5.5)$$

iff (A, B) controllable.

Corollary 5.2.

$\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ not (A, B) controllable then $\lambda \in \sigma(A + BF)$

Theorem 5.2:

Given $\Sigma(A, B)$ there exists $F \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ s.t. $\sigma(A + BF) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$ if and only if every $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ s.t. $\lambda \notin \mathbb{C}_-$ is (A, B) controllable.

Lecture 10:

State observers:

Given

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \end{cases} \quad (5.30)$$

Let $\omega(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ to be able to define

$$\Omega = \begin{cases} \dot{\omega}(t) = P\omega(t) + Qu(t) + Ry(t) \\ \xi(t) = Sw(t) \end{cases} \quad (5.31)$$

Define ESTIMATION ERROR s.t.

$$e(t) = \xi(t) - x(t) \quad (5.32)$$

So when we take the derivative, and substitute $x = \xi - e = Sw - e$ we get:

$$\dot{e}(t) = (SP + SRCS - AS)\omega(t) + (A - SRC)e(t) + (SQ - B)u(t) \quad (5.34)$$

Definition 5.2.

Ω is called STATE OBSERVER: if for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $w_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ s.t. $e(0) = Sw_0 - x_0 = 0$

For any input $u(\cdot)$ then $e(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$

Definition 5.3.

STABLE STATE OBSERVER:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e(t) = 0 \quad (5.35)$$

Theorem 5.6:

General form of a state observer for Σ is:

$$\dot{\xi}(t) = (A - GC)\xi(t) + Bu(t) + Gy(t) \quad (5.39)$$

With $\xi(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $G = SR \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$

Then the estimation error satisfies: $\dot{e}(t) = (A - GC)e(t)$ Such that the state observer is stable iff $\sigma(A - GC) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$

Detectable:

Definition 5.4.

Σ detectable if there exists $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ s.t. $\sigma(A - GC) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$

Lemma 5.7.

(A, C) detectable iff (A^T, C^T) is stabilizable.

Theorem 5.8.

(5.30) detectable iff every unstable eigenvalue of A is (A, C) observable, so:

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} = n \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(A) : \text{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0 \quad (5.43)$$

Corollary 5.9.

Consider (5.30), then:

- 1) \exists stable observer
- 2) (A, C) detectable
- 3) every eigenvalue $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ satisfying $\lambda \notin \mathbb{C}_-$ is observable.

Lecture 11:

Consider (5.30) so then we can rewrite (5.31) s.t.

$$\Gamma : \begin{cases} \dot{\omega}(t) = K\omega(t) + Ly(t) \\ u(t) = M\omega(t) + Ny(t) \end{cases} \quad (5.45)$$

Problem 5.2.

Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ find integer $n_w > 0$ and matrices

$$K \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w \times n_w} \quad L \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w \times p} \quad M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_w} \quad N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p} \quad (5.48)$$

s.t. A_{cl} satisfies $\sigma(A_{cl}) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$. Where

$$A_{cl} = \begin{bmatrix} A + BNC & BM \\ LC & K \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.47)$$

When we combine this with the state feedback

$$u(t) = F\xi(t) \quad (5.49)$$

so then we get

$$\Gamma : \begin{cases} \dot{\xi}(t) = (A - GC + BF)\xi(t) + Gy(t) \\ u(t) = F\xi(t) \end{cases} \quad (5.50)$$

When we combine this with (5.50) s.t. $n_w = n$ we obtain

$$K = A - GC + BF \quad L = G \quad M = F \quad N = 0 \quad (5.51)$$

Lemma 5.10.

Let:

- 1) Ω be stable state observer for Σ
- 2) F solve stabilization problem for static state feedback.

Then Γ solves stabilization problem by dynamics output feedback.

PROOF:

For Γ we have $A_{cl} = \begin{bmatrix} A & BF \\ GC & AGC + BF \end{bmatrix}$ define $T = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ I & I \end{bmatrix}$ so then $TA_{cl}T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A + BF & BF \\ 0 & A - GC \end{bmatrix}$

Then $\sigma(A_{cl}) = \sigma(A + BF) \cup \sigma(A - GC)$

But by 1; $\sigma(A - GC) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$ and by 2; $\sigma(A + BF) \subset \mathbb{C}_-$

Theorem 5.11:

Consider (5.30). There exists Γ of the form (5.45) iff (A, B) stabilizable and (A, C) detectable.

Detectability:

Let $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ be not (A, C) observable, so $\exists p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ where $p \neq 0$ s.t. $\begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} p = 0$ Consider two trajectories of controlled Σ :

1) $x_0 = 0$ where w_0 arbitrary then $x(\cdot)$ satisfies: $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$

2) $x_0 = p$ where w_0 arbitrary.

Claim: $x'(t) = x(t) + e^{\lambda t}p$

Namely: $x'(t) = \dot{x}(t) + te^{\lambda t}p = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + Ae^{\lambda t}p = A(x(t) + e^{\lambda t}p) + Bu(t) = Ax](t) + Bu(t)$

Also $Cx'(t) = Cx(t) + Ce^{\lambda t}p$ so $Cx'(t) = Cx(t)$

So $u(t) = u'(t)$

However $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ so $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) + e^{\lambda t}p = 0$ so $e^{\lambda t}p \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) < 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_-$

Lecture 12:

Define

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t) \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

Definition 6.1.

Two systems $\Sigma(A, B, C, D)$ and $\Sigma(\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{D})$ and SIMILAR if

$$\bar{A} = TAT^{-1} \quad \bar{B} = TB \quad \bar{C} = CT^{-1} \quad \bar{D} = D \quad (6.5)$$

Theorem 6.1:

$\Sigma(A, B, C, D)$ and $\Sigma(\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{D})$ be similar, then:

$y(t; 0, u) = \bar{y}(t; 0, u)$ for any $u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lemma 6.2:

Consider

$$y_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{-\varepsilon}^t Ce^{A(t-\tau)} Bu_\varepsilon(\tau) d\tau \quad (6.10)$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} y_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} Ce^{At} B & t > 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

PROOF:

$t > 0$ for $t \geq \varepsilon$ so then:

$$y_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{-\varepsilon}^t Ce^{A(t-\tau)} Bu_\varepsilon(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon Ce^{A(T-\tau)} Bd\tau = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon Ce^{At} e^{-A\tau} Bd\tau = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} Ce^{At} [I\tau - \frac{A\tau^2}{2!} + \frac{A^2\tau^3}{3!} + \dots] \Big|_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon Bd\tau = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} Ce^{At} (I(2\varepsilon) + 2\frac{A^2\varepsilon^3}{3!} + \dots)B$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} y_\varepsilon(t) = Ce^{At} B$$

Remark: Function $\delta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with defining properties:

1 $\delta(t) = 0$ for all $t \neq 0$

2 For any continuous function

$$\phi \Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t-\tau) \delta(\tau) d\tau = \phi(t) \quad (6.17)$$

More explicitly:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t-\tau) \delta(\tau) d\tau = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t-\tau) u_\varepsilon(\tau) d\tau \quad (6.19)$$

Definition 6.2:

For (6.1) IMPULSE RESPONSE MATRIX defined as:

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} Ce^{At} B + D\delta(t), & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.22)$$

Theorem 6.3: Consider system with above impulse response matrix, for $t \geq 0$ output given by

$$y(t; 0, u) = \int_0^t H(t-\tau) u(\tau) d\tau \quad (6.23)$$

Theorem 6.4.

When two systems are similar, then for their respectively impulse response matrices, we have that $H(t) = \bar{H}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

Theorem 6.5

Consider (6.1) and (6.22) then:

Option 1:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} & B &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & C &= [C_1 \quad C_2] \\ \Rightarrow H(t) &= \begin{cases} C_1 e^{A_{11}t} B_1 + D\delta(t) & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.30, 6.31)$$

Option 2:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} & B &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} & C &= [C_1 \quad 0] \\ \Rightarrow H(t) &= \begin{cases} C_1 e^{A_{11}t} B_1 + D\delta(t) & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.32, 6.33)$$

Remark 6.3.

We can define output function as

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(t, \tau) u(\tau) d\tau \quad (6.35)$$

Furthermore, this system is:

- 1) CASUAL (OR NON ANTICIPATING) if $H(t, \tau) = 0$ for all $\tau > t$. So t only depends on past input values.
- 2) TIME-INVARIANT if $H(t+s, \tau+s) = H(t, \tau)$

Definition 6.3.

$f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ EXPONENTIALLY BOUNDED (so $|f(t)| \leq M e^{\alpha t}$) with bounding exponent α then LAPLACE TRANSFORM:

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) e^{-st} dt \quad (6.58)$$

Theorem 6.6.

1)

$$\mathcal{L}(f + f') = \mathcal{L}(f) + \mathcal{L}(f') \quad \mathcal{L}(\alpha f) = \alpha \mathcal{L}(f) \quad (6.62)$$

for any bounded $f, f' : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

2) $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous differential, f exponentially bounded then f' exponentially bounded

$$\mathcal{L}(\dot{f}) = s\mathcal{L}(f) - f(0) \quad (6.63)$$

3) $u, h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ exponentially bounded, then

$$y(t) = \int_0^t h(t-\tau)u(\tau)d\tau \quad (6.64)$$

is exponentially bounded

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = \mathcal{L}(h)\mathcal{L}(u) \quad (6.65)$$

Definition 6.4.

TRANSFER FUNCTION MATRIX consider (6.1) then

$$T(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D \quad (6.71)$$

Theorem 6.7.

We see that

$$T(s) = \mathcal{L}(H)(s) \quad (6.72)$$

for all s s.t. $\text{Re}(s) > \Lambda(A)$ with $\Lambda(A)$ the spectral abscis² of A

$$\Lambda(A) = \max\{\text{Re}(\lambda) | \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$$

Theorem 6.8.

When two systems are similar then

$$T(s) = \bar{T}(s) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{C} \quad (6)$$

Lecture 13:

Cramer's rule:

$$(sI - A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta_A(s)} \text{adj}(sI - A)$$

SINGLE-INPUT SINGLE-OUTPUT SYSTEM:

$$\Sigma_{\text{SISO}} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \end{cases} \quad (6.97)$$

Transfer function as rational function:

$$T(s) = \frac{p_ms^m + \dots + p_1s + p_0}{q_s^n + \dots + q_1 + q_0} \quad (6.98)$$

SISO: single input, single output.

$$\Sigma_{\text{Siso}} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6.9.

Consider Σ_{siso} with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_{n-2} & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = [c_0 \ c_1 \ \dots \ c_{n-2} \ c_{n-1}] \quad (6.99)$$

then:

$$T(s) = \frac{c_{n-1}s^{n-1} + c_{n-2}s^{n-2} + \dots + c_1s + c_0}{s^n + a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1s + a_0} \quad (6.100)$$

PROOF:

Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{adj}(sI - A) &= \begin{bmatrix} * & \dots & * & 1 \\ * & \dots & * & s \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & \dots & * & s^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow C \text{Adj}(sI - A)B &= c_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + c_1s + c_0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.101, 6.103)$$

Combining this with Cramer's rule and $\Delta_A(s)$ we get indeed the result we wanted.

Theorem 6.10.

Consider (6.97) and let (A, B) be controllable, then:

$$p(s) = C \text{adj}(sI - A)B \quad q(s) = \Delta_A(s) \quad (6.116)$$

And coprime iff (A, C) observable.

PROOF:

(A, c) nonobservable $\Rightarrow \exists \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ where $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ s.t., $\begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I \\ C \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} = 0$ for some $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \Rightarrow \mathbf{v} = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \dots \ v_n]^T$ then:

$$Av = \lambda v \Rightarrow \begin{cases} v_2 = \lambda v_1 \\ v_3 = \lambda v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n = \lambda v_{n-1} \end{cases} \Rightarrow -a_0 v_1 - a_1 v_2 - \dots - a_{n-1} v_n = \lambda v_n \Rightarrow *$$

$$\text{Let } v = v_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \lambda^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } v_1 \neq 0 \xrightarrow{\text{substitute in } *} 0 = (\lambda^n + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\lambda + a_0)v_1 = \Delta_A(\lambda)v_1$$

So λ is a root of $\Delta_A = q$

Using that $0 = Cv = (c_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + c_{n-2}\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + c_1\lambda + c_0)v_1 = \text{cadj}(\lambda I - A)Bv_1 = p(\lambda)v_1$

So λ also root of p

So p and q not coprime, what concludes the proof.

Theorem 6.11:

Consider (6.97) with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 & -a_1 & \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 & -a_2 & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ 0 & & \ddots & 1 & 0 & -a_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-2} \\ b_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad C^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6.129)$$

Then we have that

$$T(s) = \frac{b_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + b_1s + b_0}{s^n + a_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1s + a_0} \quad (6.130)$$

Note that (A, C) in (6.129) observable so therefore the matrices in (6.129) observability canonical form.

Theorem 6.12.

Consider (6.97) and let (A, C) observable, then

$$p(s) = C \text{adj}(sI - A)B \quad q(s) = \Delta_A(s) \quad (6.131)$$

are coprime iff (A, B) controllable.

Lecture 14:

For SISO:

$$T(s) = \frac{p(s)}{q(s)} = \frac{p'(s)}{q'(s)} \quad (6.132)$$

where q' and p' are coprime.

Non-SISO:

$$T(s) = \frac{1}{q(s)} P(s) \quad (6.133)$$

where P matrix of polynomials.

Definition 6.5.

POLE: $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ pole of $T(s) = \frac{p(s)}{q(s)}$ if it is a root of $q'(\lambda)$

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a pole of $T(s) = \frac{1}{q(s)} P(s)$ if it is a pole of at least one of its elements.

Theorem 6.13.

Consider (6.1) and its transfer matrix T then:

- 1) $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ pole of T then $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$
- 2) $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ and (A, B) controllable and (A, C) observable, then λ pole of T

Definition 6.6.

$\Sigma(A, B, C, D)$ is EXTERNALLY STABLE if $\exists \gamma > 0$ s.t. for any bounded $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|y(t; 0, u)\|_2 \leq \gamma \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \|u(t)\|_2 \quad (6.134)$$

EQUIVALENT DEFINITION:

$$\|u(t)\|_2 \leq 1 \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \Rightarrow \|y(t[0, u)\|_2 \leq \gamma \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (6.135)$$

Lemma 6.14.

$\Sigma(A, B, C, D)$ in (6.1) externally stable iff $\Sigma(A, B, C, D)$ is externally stable.

Theorem 6.15:

For (6.1) and its transfer T the following are equivalent:

1) Σ externally stable.

2) $\int_0^\infty \|Ce^{At}B\| dt \leq \infty$

3) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ce^{At}B = 0$

4) all poles of T are in \mathbb{C}_-

PROOF:

In Lecture notes

Definition 6.7.

(6.1) is internally stable if (3.1) is asymptotically stable.

Theorem 6.16:

Consider (6.1) then:

1) Internally stable, then externally stable.

2) Externally stable, (A, B) controllable, (A, C) observable \Rightarrow internally stable.